

Original Research Article

Three Dimensional Effect of Intercropped Fodder with Pigeon Pea (*Cajanus cajan*) in Cultivable Waste Land for Nutritional Security in Jharkhand, India

Birendra Kumar^{1*}, Nargis Kumari¹, Uday Kumar Singh² and Shashi Bhushan Kumar³

¹Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Birsa Agricultural University, Kanke, Ranchi, Jharkhand-834006, India

²KVK, Petarwar, Bokaro, India

³Department of Soil Science & Agricultural Chemistry Birsa Agricultural University, Kanke, Ranchi, Jharkhand-834006, India

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted under All India Coordinated Research Project on (Forage Crops & Utilization) with the collaboration of Agrostology unit of College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry Ranchi (Jharkhand) to study the Suitability of different cereal and legume fodder as intercropped with Pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan*) during three consecutive *Kharif* seasons from 2014 to 2016. *Kharif* fodders as Sorghum, Maize, Pearl millet, Soybean, Rice bean, Cowpea and Clustered bean were intercropped in between two paired row 60 cm apart of Pigeon pea (paired at 30 cm). Altogether eight treatments were replicated thrice and analyzed the results. Pooled data of three years showed that, more pigeon pea yield (10.058 q/ha) was obtained when pigeon pea intercropped with Soybean and which was about more than 4.5 % than sole Pigeon pea (9.62 q/ha). However, intercropped Sorghum produced more green fodder yield (174.45 q/ha) and dry fodder yield (37.145 q/ha) while, productivity per day were maximum with fodder maize (2.56 q/ha/day as green) and (0.56 q/ha/day as dry). In System, Pigeon pea + Cowpea intercropping system produced more Pigeon pea yield equivalent (15.76 q/ha) which was nearly 64 % more than sole Pigeon pea. In other word, yield advantage due to paired row intercropped with Fodder Cowpea showed 64 % yield advantages over sole.

Keywords

Pigeon Pea,
Sorghum, Maize,
Pearl millet,
Soybean, Rice
bean, Cowpea, and
Clustered bean,
Intercropped,
Yield advantages
and Paired row

Introduction

Jharkhand is Middle to Southern part of undivided Bihar, which comes into existence as new state during 2000. At present it comprises total 24 districts, which comes under Agro climatic sub division IV, V and VI. Land of this state is undulating, platue, plain and red laterite soil *i.e.* Alfisol is major class of soil. Growing legumes and cereals together for food production is not only popular among subsistence farmers in the

world, but it is demand of the day thought the India as well as Jharkhand too. The benefits of system are realized in areas where the rainy season is long and favorable enough to grow more than one crop of different duration simultaneously or successively, or where irrigation is available (Mandal *et al.*, 1990). Apart from the cereal and legumes for human being, fodder cultivation attracts the farmers to cope up its

shortage. Jharkhand is a state where 80 per cent of the farmers over come under marginal category with holding size less than 2.5 Acre of land. That's why they do not prefer fodder in good land, so scope of fodder cultivation shifted towards waste and or uncultivated upland. Soils of upland are less productive, as it contains less organic matter coupled with low water holding capacity and very compact which results into more expense of money and energy. In present contest protein became the essential parts of ration of human as well as animal which can be made available from pulses for human and green fodder for animals. Among the legume Pigeon pea being deep rooted and best human protein and fodder Cowpea, Rice bean, Fodder Soybean and Cluster bean are suitable for intercropped as well as sole cropping. Besides it the importance of cereal fodder likes Sorghum, maize and Pearl millet can't be ignored which acts as body building feed and sources of roughs too. Thus, in order to minimize the hidden hunger and to cope up from malnutrition among three dimensional agricultural systems that is Soil, Animal and human growing of fodder along with Pulses in particular piece of land simultaneously is demand of the time. Apart from the above facts, to increase the area under cultivated land, and also to make it productive, deep rooted legumes along with fodder as intercrop must be taken during the *Kharif* which will help in nutritional security of our system. Keeping the above facts in view present experiments was conducted on "Effectiveness of fodder as intercropped with Pigeon Pea (*Cajanus cajan*) in cultivable waste land for nutritional security in Jharkhand".

Materials and Methods

A Field experiment was conducted during *Kharif* season of three consecutive year from

2014, 2015 and 2016 under All India Coordinated Project on Forage Crops at BAU, Ranchi situated at 23°34' N latitude and 85°31' E longitudes at an altitude of 645.45 meter above the mean sea level. It falls under humid sub-tropical climatic conditions, which have features of hot dry summers and cool dry winters. The soil of the experimental field was sandy loam in texture, slightly acidic in reaction having different physical and chemical properties mentioned here under (Table 1). The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with eight treatments namely, T1 – Sole Pigeon pea (at R-R,60 cm), T2 – Pigeon pea + Sorghum (2:1), T3 – Pigeon pea + Maize (2:1), T4 – Pigeon pea + Pearl millate (2:1), T5 – Pigeon pea + Soyabean (2:1), T6 – Pigeon pea + Rice bean (2:1), T7 – Pigeon pea + Cowpea (2:1) and T8 – Pigeon pea + Clusture bean. Above treatment were sown in plot size 4 m x 3 m and replicated thrice. Initially well decomposed Farm Yard Mannure @10 tons/ha were applied.

Lines were opened along the 3 m width at 30 cm apart and two lines of Pigeon Pea and then one line of fodder as intercropped were sown. Under each plot 4 rows of fodder crop and 6 rows of Pigeonpea were sown at the same time, after harvest of fodder Pigeonpea get better space. Intercropped plots were sown with utilizing the exact proportionate amount of Inputs (Table-3). Full dose of N, P and K in Legumes were applied at the time of sowing, while Full dose of P K and half dose of N in cereal at sowing time and rest half dose of N applied after 25 DAS. Fertilizers were applied as per recommendation and crops not irrigated as it sown under rainfed condition.

Fodder were harvested at proper stage for difrent crops data of represented sample were taken from randomly selected place.

Data were analyzed follow the standered formulla prescribed by Cochran, W.G. and Cox, G.M. 1957^[3].

Results and Discussion

Pigeon pea study

Plant height of sole Pigeon pea (178 cm) was significantly superior over when intercropped with cereal fodder like Pearl millet (168 cm), Maize (169 cm) while it was at par when intercropped with Sorghum (175 cm). Further maximum plant height of Pigeon pea was recorded when intercropped with Rice bean (190 cm) besides it with other legumes inters cropped plant height was at par (Table 4). Intercropping of legumes fodder improve the soil condition and add nitrogen as well as enhance availability of nutrients resulted into bigger plant height of main crop (Pigeon pea).

Pooled data showed that, the maximum grain yield of Pigeon pea was recorded under intercropped with Soya bean (10.058 q/ha), which was about 4.55 % more than sole Pigeon pea yield (9.620 q/ha). This is due to better growth of Pigeon pea under intercropped than sole.

In terms of Pigeon pea equivalent yield, fodder cowpea intercropped with Pigeon pea produced more yield equivalent (15.76 q/ha) over sole Pigeon pea (9.620 q/ha) that is in other word cowpea intercropped with Pigeon pea produced about 63.82 % more produced over sole Pigeon pea. This was due to combined effect of more plant population as well as well better growth due to better availability of nutrients. Natrajan and Willey (1980)^[8] reported that, the intercropped combination of early sorghum (82 days) and later maturing pigeon pea (173 days) in a row arrangement of 2 sorghum:1 pigeon pea. Prior to sorghum

harvest, light interception by the intercrop combination was almost as high as sole sorghum. After sorghum harvest, light interception by the remaining pigeon pea was very poor and it is suggested that pigeon pea yield could be increased by higher plant population density and better plant distribution. Soil water measurements indicated that this would increase the amount of water being transpired through the crop but would not increase the total evapo-transpiration demand. Higher nutrient concentration in the intercrop pigeon pea compared with sole pigeon pea during this post-sorghum period suggested that yield of intercrop pigeon pea was not limited by nutrient stress, though the total uptake of nutrients by both crops was much greater from intercropping than sole cropping. Sarkar and Shit (1990)^[11] and Quiroz and Marin (2003)^[10] recorded higher LER and ATER in maize based intercropping system compared to sole cropping.

Fodder study

Three years pooled data of plant height/ vine length of diffrent fodder showed that, Sorghum attain more height (283 cm) over other fodder crops, while minimum was recorded under Soybean (89 cm). Intercropped Sorghum produced more green fodder yield (174.45 q/ha) and Dry fodder yield (37.145 q/ha), which were significantly higher over other fodder crops taken under experimentation (Table 5).

The higher biomass production is frequently due to the enhanced growth of the component non-legume. Because the non-legume is generally taller than the legume and can therefore intercept adequate solar radiation, biomass production of the non-legume is more closely related to improved N nutrition (Rerkasem and Rerkasem 1988)^[5].

Table.1 Physiochemical properties of the soil of experiment plot

Sl.No	Particulars	Value	Method used
I	Physical properties		
1.	Sand (%)	61.2	Hydrometer method ^[1]
2.	Silt (%)	22.4	
3.	Clay (%)	16.4	
	Texture	Sandy	
II	Soil Moisture Constants		
1.	Water holding capacity (%)	41.3	Keen Raczki modified ^[12]
2.	Field capacity at 0.33 bar (%)	19.7	pressure membrane plate apparatus ^[6]
3.	Permanent wilting	11.36	pressure membrane plate apparatus ^[6]
4.	Bulk density (Mgm ⁻³)	1.57	Core sampler ^[8] as described in ^[12]
III	Chemical properties		
1.	Soil pH (1:2.5, soil: water	6.23	Glass electrode pH meter ^[4]
2.	Organic Carbon (g/kg)	3.97	^[15] as described in ^[14]
3.	Available N (kg/ha)	245	Alkaline KMnO ₄ ^[13]
4.	Available P ₂ O ₅ (kg/ha)	24.8	Colorimetric estimation ^[2]
5.	Available K ₂ O (kg/ha)	176	Flame Photometer ^[4]

Table.2 Details of Inputs standered rate and needed amount

Sl, No	Crops	Cultivar	Seed Rate (Kg/ha)	RDF (Kg/ha)	Actual Needed Seed (Kg/ha)	Actual Needed N:P:K (Kg/ha)
1.	Pigeon pea	Asha	15	20: 50: 25	18	24:60:30
2.	Sorghum	SSG	20	60: 50: 25	8	24:20:10
3.	Maize	African tall	40	60: 50: 25	15	24:20:10
4.	Pearlmillet	NDFB-2	15	60: 50: 25	6	24:20:10
5.	Soyabean	Birsa Bold	50	20: 50: 25	20	8:20:10
6.	Rice bean	Bidhan -2	25	20: 50: 25	10	8:20:10
7.	Cowpea	Bundel lobia	25	20: 50: 25	10	8:20:10
8.	Clusture bean	Bundel Guar-1	25	20: 50: 25	10	8:20:10

Table.3 Details of Inputs standered rate and needed amount

Sl. No	Treatments	Seed Required (Kg/ha)	N:P ₂ O ₅ :K ₂ O (Kg/ha)
1.	T1- Sole Pigeonpea (at 60 cm R-R)	15	20: 50: 25
2.	T2 – Pigeon pea + Sorghum (2:1)	18 + 8	48: 80: 40
3.	T3 – Pigeon pea + Maize (2:1)	18 + 15	48: 80: 40
4.	T4 – Pigeon pea + Pearlmillet (2:1)	18 + 6	48: 80: 40
5.	T5 – Pigeon pea + Soyabean (2:1)	18 + 20	32: 80: 40
6.	T6 – Pigeon pea + Ricebean (2:1)	18 + 10	32: 80: 40
7.	T7 – Pigeon pea + Cowpea (2:1)	18 + 10	32: 80: 40
8.	T8 – Pigeon pea + Clusturebean (2:1)	18 + 10	32: 80: 40

Table.4 Plant height, yield and Pigeon pea yield equivalent of Pigeon pea under pigeon pea + fodder inter cropping system (Pooled)

Treatments	Pigeon pea study		Pigeon pea equivalent yield (q/ha)
	Plant height (cm)	Pigeon pea yield (q/ha)	
T1- Sole Pigeonpea (at 60 cm R-R)	178	9.620	9.620
T2 – Pigeon pea + Sorghum (2:1)	175	8.769	15.75
T3 – Pigeon pea + Maize (2:1)	169	8.795	15.71
T4 – Pigeon pea + Pearlmillet (2:1)	168	9.621	14.89
T5 – Pigeon pea + Soyabean (2:1)	182	10.058	13.24
T6 – Pigeon pea + Ricebean (2:1)	190	10.006	14.88
T7 – Pigeon pea + Cowpea (2:1)	184	10.044	15.76
T8 – Pigeon pea + Clusturebean (2:1)	185	10.053	13.67
S. Em ±	3.75	0.209	0.24
CD at 5%	8.26	0.461	0.53
CV%	2.57	2.67	2.04

Table.5 Plant height, Leaf: stem ratio, Yield and productivity per day of fodder crops under pigeon pea+ fodder inter cropping system (Pooled)

Treatments	Fodder study					
	Plant height(cm)	L:s ratio	GFY (q/ha)	GFY /day (q/ha/day)	DFY (q/ha)	DFY/day (q/ha/day)
T1	----	----	---	---	---	---
T2	283	0.352	174.45	1.54	37.145	0.33
T3	246	0.284	153.73	2.56	33.910	0.56
T4	256	0.362	131.69	2.09	24.543	0.39
T5	89	0.862	57.90	1.04	10.901	0.19
T6	185	1.075	88.62	1.41	17.735	0.28
T7	204	0.960	103.96	1.65	19.881	0.32
T8	128	0.992	65.74	1.04	10.712	0.17
S. Em ±	3.56	0.059	2.28	0.03	0.565	0.01
CD at 5%	7.83	0.130	5.02	0.07	1.244	0.02
CV%	2.19	10.383	2.52	2.65	3.128	3.45

In other hand, fodder productivity per unit time, fodder Maize produced green fodder (2.565 q/ha/day) and dry fodder (0.56 q/ha/day) which were more over other, while lowest productivity/day were recorded under Fodder Soybean.

Pigeon pea (Asha) with less branching ability was taken in paired row at 30 cm. One row of different fodder crops were placed in between

the distance of 60 cm. paired to pair. As intercrop sorghum or maize among the cereal and rice bean among the legume performed equally well. However, Higher yield of Pigeon pea (10.058 q/ha) was recorded when intercropped with Soybean, and higher green fodder yield (GFY, 174.45 q/ha), DFY, 37.145 q/ha) from intercropped Sorghum while, productivity /day i.e. GFY/ha/day (2.56 q/ha/day) and DFY/ha/day (0.56 q/ha/day) can

be taken from maize intercropped with Pigeon pea. Total Pigeon pea yield equivalent (15.71 q/ha) can be easily obtained, when crops were fertilized with standered recommended dose of fertilizer. However, in terms of system Pigeon pea yield equivalent; Fodder cowpea when intercropped with Pigeon pea produced maximum 15.76 q/ha which was about 64 % more than sole Pigeon pea.

Acknowledgment

I acknowledge the contribution of A N Puran soil scientist BAU Ranchi for his help in soil study and also thankful to my colleagues Dr Nargish for her help during prepration of this manuscript.

References

- Bouyoucos, G. J. 1962. Direction for making mechanical analysis of soil by hydrometer method. *Journal of Soil Science*. 42 : 225-228.
- Bray R H and Kurtz, L. T (1945) Determination of total organic and available form of Phosphorus in soil *Soil Science: January 1945 - Volume 59 - Issue 1: 39-46*
- Cochran, W.G. and Cox, G.M. 1957. *Experimental Designs*. 2nd Ed. John Wiley and Sons, NY
- Jackson, M.L. 1973. *Soil chemical analysis*. Pentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, 183 (5-8): 23-26
- Rerkasem K. and B. Rerkasem (1988) Yields and nitrogen nutrition of intercropped maize and ricebean (*Vigna umbellata* [Thumb.] Ohwi and Ohashi) *Plant and soil*, Volume 108, Issue 1, pp 151–162
- Loveday, J. (1974). *Method of analysis of irrigated soils*. Printed in Australia by Wilke &Co. Ltd.Clyton,Victoria.
- Mandal R.N. and Naskar K.R.(1990) Diversity and classification of Indian mangroves: a review, *Tropical Ecology* 49(2): 131-146, 2008
- Natarajan, M and Willey, R W (1980) Sorghum-pigeonpea intercropping and the effects of plant population density. 2. Resource use. *The Journal of Agricultural Science*, 95 (1). pp. 59-65. ISSN 1916-9752
- Okigbo, B.N. and Greenland, D.J (1976) “Intercropping systems in tropical Africa,” In: Papendick *et al.*, (Eds). *Multiple Cropping*. Proceeding of a symposium held at Agriculture University, Hissar, Indian society of Agronomy and Indian Council of Agriculture Research, October 1976 pp. 63-101.
- Piper, C.S., 1966. *Soil and plant analysis*. Hans Publishers. Bombay, Asiad Ed. pp. 368. *Fertilizer. News*, 16(9): 40 – 42
- Quiroz, A. I and Marin, D.(2003), Grain yield and efficiency of a maize - pigeonpea intercropping system with and without fertilization. *Bioagro*, 15 : 121-128.
- Sarkar, R. M. and Shit, D., (1990) Effect of intercropping cereals, pulses and oilseeds with maize on production competition and advantage. *Indian Agriculturist*, 34 : 88-89.
- Singh, R. A.1989. *Soil physical analysis*, Kalyani publishers, Ludhiana, India.
- Subbiah, B.V. and Asija, H.L.(1956). A rapid procedure for estimation of the available nitrogen in soil. *Current science*.25, 259-260.
- Tandon, H.L.S. (1999). *Methods of analysis of soil, water, plants and fertilizers*. Fertiliser Development and consultation Organization, New Delhi. Pp 144.
- Walkley, A. and Black, C.A. 1934. An examination of the Degtijareff method for determining soil organic matter, and proposed modification of the chromic acidification, *Soil Science* (37):29 -38.